

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/662,873	LEDY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Louis Falasco	1773	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Louis Falasco.

(3) _____.

(2) Donald R. McPhail.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 November 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
=

Claims discussed:
 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 20, 21, 22, 23, 28, 32, 33, 34, 35, 37, 43 and 53

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 20, 21, 22, 23, 28, 32, 33, 34, 35, 37, 43 and 53 *correct the spelling*

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

QR


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)